April 2, 2003

TO: Internal File

THRU: Daron R. Haddock, Permit Supervisor

FROM: James D. Smith, Senior Reclamation Specialist

RE: 2002 Fourth Quarter Water Monitoring, Energy West Mining Company, Trail

Mountain Mine, C/015/009-WQ02-4

1. Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites? YES [] NO [X] *Identify sites not monitored and reason why, if known*:

TM-1B: water levels were not reported for October and November.

TM-3: water levels were not reported for October, November, or December...

T-18: water levels and operational monitoring were not reported for December.

2. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data? See Technical Directive 004 for baseline resampling requirements. Consider the five-year baseline resubmittal when responding to question one above. Indicate if the MRP does not have such a requirement.

Resampling Due Date

Renewal submittal due 10/21/04, renewal due 02/21/05. Baseline analyses were performed in 1996, 2001 and will be repeated every 5 years, i.e., next baseline analyses will be in 2006.

3. Were all required parameters reported for each site? YES [X] NO [] Comments, including identity of monitoring site:

4.	Were irregularities found in the data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO []
T-19: Ca (n = 23), sulfate (n = 50), TDS (n = 50), lab conductivity (n = 48; not a requiperameter), total alkalinity (n = 48; not a required parameter), total anions and cations (n = 46; not required parameters) were outside the two standard deviation range;				-
	SW-2: sulfate was outside the two standard deviations	on range;		
5.	Were DMR forms submitted for all required sites?			
		1 st month,	YES [X]	NO[]
		2 nd month,	YES [X]	NO[]
	Identify sites and months not monitored:	3 rd month,	YES [X]	NO []
	There was no discharge from either UPDES point does sealed in June 2001 and there has been no reported discay 2001.			
6.	Were all required DMR parameters reported? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES [X]	NO []
	There was no discharge from either UPDES point during the fourth quarter.			
7.	Were irregularities found in the DMR data? Comments, including identity of monitoring site:	YES[]	NO [X]	
8. Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?				
	Energy West needs to provide the missing data or reports for T-3, T-18, TM-1B.			

This is the second consecutive quarter T-19 has had Ca, sulfate, and TDS values outside

This is the second consecutive quarter SW-2 has had sulfate values outside two standard

O:\015009.TMT\WATER QUALITY\JDS.WQ_02-4.DOC

two standard deviations.

deviations.